
JUSTIFICATION STATEMENT FOR ARCHITECT SELECTION

**Southern Utah University
Old Main Building Remodel and Seismic Upgrade
DFCM Project No. 03234730**

The Old Main Building Selection Committee was very impressed with each of the short listed teams and expresses its appreciation to them for their significant efforts and interest in the project. Please note that the Selection Committee members may have modified their January 21, 2004 scores as a result of information provided in the interview and any additional information provided by a short listed team.

The committee resolved to rank Cooper Roberts Simonsen Architects as the best value team due to the following:

- They had very good past performance ratings.
- In addition to successfully working with all their major consultants on many past projects, their extremely strong team included specialists in programming, interior design, masonry, and plan review and production.
- They had the most experience with historical structures similar to Old Main and had greater involvement with the State Historic Preservation Officer – their historic preservation credentials are outstanding.
- They aptly demonstrated a schedule that accelerates the project.
- Their management plan not only reflected the talents and skills of their team but also mirrored the expectations of the building user, solicitation documents, and the special needs of the project. Their presentation was a detailed and unified response.

Final Ranking

1. D
2. A
3. C
4. R
5. E

VBS Selection Scoring Matrix

Southern Utah University
Old Main Building Remodel and Seismic Upgrade
DFCM Project No. 03234730

28 January 2004

Selection Criteria	C					E					D				
Past Performance	4	4.5	4.3	3.5	4.3	2	4.5	4.5	3.5	4.7	5	4.8	4.5	4	4.6
Strength of Team	3	4.7	5	5	4.4	2	4.6	4	3.5	4.1	3.5	4.8	5	4.5	4.5
Project Management Approach	4	4.6	4	4.5	4.5	2	3.5	4	3	4.2	4	4.7	4	4.5	4.5
Schedule	4	5	5	3.5	4.5	4	5	4	4	4.5	4	5	5	4.5	4.8
Demonstration of the capacity and commitment to devote the necessary time to the project to maintain schedule and quality	3.5	5	5	4	4.5	3	5	5	3.5	4.4	3	5	5	4.5	4.5
Expertise in programming and designing historic facility remodels	4	4.8	5	4	4.7	2	4.5	4	3	4.3	5	4.9	5	5	4.8
Demonstration of the team's history in working together on similar seismic upgrade and historical remodel projects	3.5	4.7	4	4	4.5	2	4.2	4	3.5	4.4	4	4.8	4	5	4.8

Selection Criteria	A					R				
Past Performance	5	4.3	4.25	4.5	4.5	2.5	4	4.25	4	4.5
Strength of Team	4	4.5	5	5	4.2	2.5	4.5	4	4	4
Project Management Approach	4	4.6	4	4.5	4.2	3	4.6	4	4	4.2
Schedule	3.5	5	5	4	4.3	3.5	5	5	5	4.5
Demonstration of the capacity and commitment to devote the necessary time to the project to maintain schedule and quality	3.5	5	4	4.5	4.4	3	5	5	4.5	4.5
Expertise in programming and designing historic facility remodels	5	3.8	5	5	4.8	2.5	4.6	5	4	4.2
Demonstration of the team's history in working together on similar seismic upgrade and historical remodel projects	4	4.5	5	5	4.4	2.5	4	4	5	4.2